

Chief Public MAA AOSH EU

Robert Dam

cc. RTC EU
cc. FSO Ethics
cc. OSA EU
cc. OSA DK

6th October 2003

REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ETHICS ORDER # 6754, NON-ENTURBULATION ORDER on ROBERT DAM

On the following grounds I hereby request for a withdrawal:

1. This order states that it is based on “data at hand”. What data? Please be specific and please hear me out on the matter as well, otherwise you do not know what you are handling.

2. A reference is given to HCO PL 27. Dec 1963 “The “Magic” of Good Management” stating: *“Don’t get reasonable about natterers. If they’re hypercritical they have overts. If they have a real complaint they’d talk to the management, not everybody else.”* - LRH.

As per three KR’s I recently received you can see that I am not a “natterer” or “hypercritical”. If you have those KR’s please read them. I have been voicing my views when asked. I have not been nattering or been hypercritical, but have expressed my views to the staff of the Copenhagen Expansion Project because they asked me to come and see them, and because they were interested in my views. They specifically asked me about my views. I told the truth about my personal experiences and why I had my doubts about top management. Further I have spoken to a few close friends and we have discussed my views and their views. This has nothing to do with being nattery or hypercritical. Therefore the above HCO PL reference is not applicable.

3. At a recent meeting with OSA DK, I was told that of course nobody at management would ever dream of limiting the free communication of Scientologists. Present was Anette Refstrup, Erik Nordesgaard, Karna Jensen, Mikkel Jørch and Sonni Boel. Shortly after agreeing that Scientologists were entitled to their own views and to communicate freely with others, Karna stated that I should just be quiet and not talk to others about my views. A direct contradiction.

4. per the Creed of the Church of Scientology: *“all men have inalienable rights to think freely, to talk freely, to write freely their own opinions and to counter or utter or write upon the opinions of others.”* Furthermore: *“that no agency less than God has the power to suspend or set aside these rights, overtly or covertly.”* - LRH, Scientology 0-8

This is not a tech matter as such, it is the very foundation of the Church of Scientology. And it is directly violated by issuing a non-enturbulation order on me for voicing my views to others. If the Church seeks religious recognition in Denmark I suggest to start by adhering to the Creed as outlined by its founder L.Ron Hubbard.

I have not been enturbulating anybody. Read again those couple of KR’s which has been written on me. I have voiced my views which I am entitled to, per LRH.

Based on the above I consider this non-enturbulation order contradictory to the words of L. Ron Hubbard and expect it to be properly withdrawn with all terminals informed, including the Danish Orgs and Missions.

With all due respect, you, Public MAA AOSH, do NOT have authority to order me quiet.

“that no agency less than God has the power to suspend or set aside these rights, overtly or covertly.” - LRH

I look forward to receiving your withdrawal.

With kind regards

Robert Dam
OT V pre-OT, IAS Honour Roll Member, Scientologist since 1984.