

To: COB RTC, Mr. David Miscavige
From: Robert Dam, NOTs pre-OT and IAS Honour Roll Member.

cc. RTC Reports Officer
cc. Snr C/S DKD (He encouraged me to write to you, therefore this cc)
cc. OSA DKD (had a meeting with them, and they want to be in the picture)

Copenhagen 15th October 2003

Dear David Miscavige,

I am now at a point where I must decide whether or not to continue up the Bridge on Solo NOTs. I had tremendous wins on Audited NOTs and also on solo auditing OTIII.

Yet, many questions has now arisen, and I need to somehow get clarification. I am basically applying the Doubt formula. I hope you do not take offense in me writing to you directly. I believe these matters can only be answered by you, but please correct me if I'm wrong in that aspect. And please excuse me for being somewhat outspoken, but these are pertinent questions I have had for a while, and since I am soon financially ready to go onto OT VI and VII, I better confront asking.

Recently a non-enturbulation order was issued on me, because I voiced my doubts to a few close friends. Therefore I now only communicate to the people in charge and whose intentions I somehow cannot figure out. This is hereby done and I hope you will be able and willing to answer the following 8 questions:

1. Illegal detention, harassment, and spreading of slanderous rumours not based on fact

In November 1996 I was subjected to an "attack" by AOSH EU, or rather CLO EU. I had been printing the AOSH EU magazines successfully for several years, but at that point the GI had been down for 6-8 weeks. Somebody's head was needed on a pike and I guess it was mine. I will not bother you with too many details, but only give you a few headlines. This because I have questions about that particular way of handling situations, carried out by the Sea Org. I was interrogated on the top floor of AOSH EU. Security guards came to my home and ordered me in. When I arrived, more security officers was overseeing me walking up the stairs. They were in front and behind me, and placed a various locations. When I entered the Exec office on the top floor, CO CLO EU (Walther Kotric), CO CMO EU, LC EU and some other Execs were there waiting for me. Security guards watching the doors, like if I would try to escape. Never the less, CO CLO started shouting at full lung capacity that I was "A useless piece of shit" and other more gross and grude, and even vulgar statements. CO CMO also joined in from time to time. I was then put in session for sec-check even though I was not sessionable. Security guards posted outside the auditing room. It didn't fly as I would not admit having done overts which I hadn't done. In the following couple of weeks I was sec-checked almost daily. One day I was withheld physically by two security guards while two SO Members went to our house to try and 3rd party me on my wife. They insinuated that I had confessed being with other women while travelling in Singapore. Outright lies. Another day Cont Justice Chief EU came to our house to get me into the org. I was not available as we were going out that evening. She insisted and my wife broke down completely. I had to 8C the CJC out of our house as she didn't respond to normal communication. Shortly after, as we left our house, we found the CJC guarding our parking lot in a car. She had a driver with her. As we drove away they tried to follow us, but my car had more house power and I managed to lose them. Many other things happened, amongst other CO CMO EU personally threatening me with an SP declare if I didn't show up in the org as per her schedule.

Then I was ordered to Flag to see Marty Rathburn which I did in beginning January 1997. I had written a detailed KR on what had happened (carefully editing out all HE&R), but he said that it was just natter. I was sec-checked, but I would not admit having ripped off AOSH. Allegations were that I had an operation where I printed and mailed only a small quantity of each mag while invoicing the full quantity and just pocketing the excess money. Such thing has of course never ever happened, and I would therefore never dream of admitting to it, even if it would cost me an SP declare. I was told by Marty Rathburn that my further spiritual progress was at stake unless I came straight and admitted, leaving me in a complete confusion as to how to tackle the situation. Never the less, I got more sec-check by Mick McFarlane (great auditor by the way) and I found whatever other overts which

I HAD done, and priced a suitable compensation. Back in Denmark I finished my ethics program and payed back to AOSH EU the amount of money established in my Sec-Check at Flag. Local RTC received all the documentation and was happy that the cycle was finally over.

Later on I tried to be declared back in good standing, but that was refused by local RTC. They said that no issue was ever written on me, so they found no reason to declare me back in good standing. This is a violation of Justice definition 15. in the Management dictionary. Fact was that CO CLO (Walter Kotric) had briefed all the SO Staff and even DK Org staff, that Robert Dam had been found the who on suppressed GI at AOSH EU. He told that I was guilty of the allegations mentioned above, even though no com-ev or B of I had been held, or even though I had NOT admitted to this. This is a violation of Justice definition 8. in the Management dictionary. The fact that these briefings found place I know from several sources. I knew some SO Members really well, and they knew I could never have done such suppressive and criminal acts. They kept me informed of what was going on inside the SO, and how my name was brought into disrepute by CO CLO's briefings. Even the SSO DK Org received a KR for celebrating New Years Evening at our house. Later on I paid my IAS Honour Roll status, to re-establish my name as a Scientologist. I was photographed on stage and in the lobby, but when the Impact came out, I had been edited out of the pictures. When asking the Chief Editor of Impact how come, he answered that there was a KR about AOSH EU which was not sorted out and that he had no clearance on printing my picture (copy of his letter enclosed). This communicated to local RTC only brought about their recommendation for me to get sec-checked. Still today, my name is a swearword within AOSH EU and CLO EU. I know from one of my good friends that even the Chaplain AOSH is saying that I am no good. This has happened several times when people I know has mentioned my name: "You should be careful with Robert Dam. He is no good" and so on.

Well, if this is not to change, I won't go into it any deeper. I just find it strange that RTC is not willing to put my head back on, after it has been sitting exposed on a pike. Particularly in view of the fact that I was NOT guilty as charged AND that I have done and completed the program RTC, John Stompke wrote for me (copy enclosed).

Your comment on this would be greatly appreciated. Also the dramatisation by the Security Force. The illegal physical detention, attempt to 3rd party me on my wife, guarding our parking lot etc. I have heard many other people with similar experiences, not only in Copenhagen, but also abroad. Prior to November 1996 I always considered such stories "entheta and lies from our enemies", but now I se it in a completely different light, as I am sure you appreciate. I consider this a serious problem for the Church, what are your views?

2. Arbitrary delay of Super Power and OT IX and X.

Another point which make me doubt whether the true intention of management is to produce OT's in number, is the target made for the release of OT IX and X. That all Class V orgs need to be Saint Hill size. The few orgs I know here in DK has not really expanded in the last 15 years, at least not anything close to Saint Hill size. I know from Scientologists friends in Sweden that they see the same over there. Logic for me would be to make OT's in volume. All the way up the Bridge to full OT, not just pre-OT levels VII and VIII, but real OT's. Why wait? What good will that do?

And the strange delay of Super Power which was developed in 1978, but only now, 25 years later, about ready for delivery. It puzzles me that waiting for a huge building should delay processes supposed to be so powerful they would make a difference on how fast we clear the planet!! LRH's attitude has always been that one need not have before on can do!?

3. Court cases, crusades which were not a win as we were inclined to believe, but in fact the contrary

When I was staff back in 1984-87 I remember the Portland crusade. The Julie Christofferson trial I believe it was. Several of my staff went over to Portland to participate. But as far as I am informed we did not win the case. It was settled with the Church having to pay a huge sum of money. This information I was never told in the Church, but found on the net. Is it correct that we had to go for an expensive settlement?

I remember also the LA crusade, the Wollershlem trial. Isn't it true that in fact we lost this case and had to pay something in excess of 8 million dollars?

4. A high concentration of SP's at top positions

Since I learned about David Mayo's declare I have been puzzled with the fact that so many top execs has been SP declared. Even people personally trained and posted by LRH: David Mayo, Bill Franks, Captain Bill Robertsson, Mary Sue and many more. Not to mention Vicki Aznaran, your predecessor. Even people who I personally know, like the Snr C/S AOSH EU, Sigfried Krause got declared. And my old auditor Caspar Di Riyk, who was top trained, double Briefing Course graduate, Class IX, NOTs Auditor, Solo C/S etc. I recently heard from OSA EU that he was about to be SP declared. How come so many top execs, and top tech terminals are in fact SP's? Was LRH weak in spotting an SP? Is current management weak in spotting SP's? Or is there a completely different explanation?

5. Seemingly incriminating family ties

Is it correct that your mother-in-law, Mary Florence Barnett, left the Church and went to David Mayo for auditing, and later was found having committed suicide, or is it just falsely documented rumours on the internet? I am sorry, to mention this as I understand it is personal and really none of my business, but I would like to be able to counter proof this widespread story. Please do not answer if my asking in any way violates your privacy.

6. Odd fixation on peoples sexual habits and alteration of the definition of the Second Dynamic

Something puzzles me. I believe that you were around (WDC) when David Mayo and Bill Franks and Captain Bill Robertsson were SP declared. Maybe you know that they were all accused of "sexually perverted conduct" yet no evidence produced. I know that the P MAA's are pt making a big point out of masturbation. That staff and publics should stop masturbating or deal with it in ethics. I never personally ran into this problem, but know several who did, and I find it very strange to put such an emphasis on sexual habits. Ron never did. He wrote HCO PL 11. August 1967 "Second Dynamic Rules" which I suppose still applies?!? This odd fixation on sexual habits combined with the definition of the Second Dynamic being altered, calls for my attention. The new definition says:

The SECOND DYNAMIC is CREATIVITY. Creativity is making things for the future and the second dynamic includes any creativity. The second dynamic contains the family unit and the rearing of children, as well as anything that can be categorized as a family unit. It also incidentally includes sex as a mechanism to compel future survival.

I can't believe that this is LRH. It is completely out of alignment with the rest of the dynamics. Creativity is something else, it applies for all the dynamics. It definitely does not fit in as its own dynamic.

Clearly survival through self - family - group - mankind etc. makes sense.

Now look at; survival through self - creativity - group - mankind etc. that does not, never will fit in.

That makes building a house or starting a company a second dynamic activity!?! Well, that definitely does not make sense to me. Also, if you take the quote from above mentioned HCO PL "*We are also aware that those org staff which are overactive on the second dynamic seldom prosper*" it becomes even more evident that the new definition of the second dynamic could not be LRH. Because, how can a person be overactive on creation and creating things for the future?? And how could creativity possibly make him *seldom prosper*??

There is definitely something going on about sex, and I hope you know what it is? Otherwise, at least I have pointed it out to you. Also homosexuality is being hammered on these days. I have heard Sea Org Execs calling them "faggots" several times. I wonder what is the problem? Why can't sexual aberrations be handled in auditing just like any other aberration?

7. Removal of LRH data from PDC tapes: Scientology pulled out of sight

Did you know that something has been deleted from the PDC Tapes?

This is the original version PDC tape 20:

It's a very simple remedy. And that's-just make sure that the remedy is passed along. That's all. Don't horde it, don't hold it; and if you ever do use any Black Dianetics, use it on the guy who pulled Scientology out of sight and made it so it wasn't available. Because he's the boy who would be electing himself "The New Order." And we don't need any more new orders. All those orders, as far as I am concerned, have been filled.

Here is the new and altered version of PDC tape 20:

It's a very simple remedy. And that's-just make sure that the remedy is passed along. That's all. Don't hoard it and don't hold it.

The rest is Deleted?!?

It terrifies me when LRH is altered, do you know about it? is there a proper explanation for these lines being deleted?

If it is possible to delete sentences from the PDC tapes, then it is definitely also possible to change or delete sentences from the upper OT levels. This would be to "... *pulled Scientology out of sight and made it so it wasn't available.*" Also the heavy pricing of upper level auditing and advanced courses would be a sure way of pulling Scientology out of sight. Most old timer Scientologists I know have not made it to OT, but are heavily indebted. One definitely needs an extraordinary high income to be able to buy the upper Bridge without establishing huge debts. This does not really align with the alleged purpose of clearing the planet. Your views on that aspect?

8. Possible out tech on Solo NOTs

I know several people on the Solo NOTs level. They all go to Flag twice a year to get sec-checked. It is the 6 month check for solo NOTs auditors. Some of them get sec-checked with the particular style which is used for OT III and above, and some doesn't. What determines this differentiation?

Also, the routine of sec-checking a pre OT every 6 month if he is actually doing well on solo, violates HCOB 23. December 1971RB - C/S Series 73RB - "The No-Interference Area Clarified and Re-Enforced". Has this practice been changed or can I expect being ordered to Flag every sixth month even if I'm progressing well on Solo?

This letter became a bit longer than I intended, but just take your time. I am not in a hurry, just need clarification on these 8 questions at some point in time before I can decide whether to continue up the Bridge or not.

Thank you very much for your attention, I hope to be hearing back from you.

Yours sincerely

Robert Dam