

To: IG RTC Int, Marty Rathburn  
From: Robert Dam, NOTs pre-OT, IAS Honor Roll member.

cc. RTC Reports Officer  
cc. CST: L. Ron Hubbard Library, owner of LRH copyrights.

Copenhagen 15<sup>th</sup> September 2003

TECHNICAL QUESTION

Dear Marty Rathburn,

Maybe you remember me. My name is Robert Dam. I was at flag seeing you in connection with an upset about me printing the AOSH EU mags. This was back in 1997.

As you probably know I completed my program back in 1999 and all the compliance reports, approved Liability form etc. should be in my ethics folder at Flag.

I am happy to tell you that I am doing fine pt, still successfully working in the graphic business, got one more daughter and family doing well. My wife has been more or less full time on study at the local org and is now on level III and auditing her PC on grade 0. As she is now starting to work again, the org has asked her to stop her training as she cannot make a 40 hours study week, and DK org doesn't want to implement special schedule course room. But this matter has been reported to RTC and I am sure it will be sorted out soon. She has done quite well on her training so far.

Nevertheless, the reason for me writing is that I have come across alterations of LRH materials which I cannot understand. As RTC is the ruling authority in tech matters on the planet I believe that my technical questions should be directed to you. As I have been placed under a non-enturbulation order I will from now only direct my communications straight to the prober authorities. I therefore hope that I will be hearing back from you.

**Alteration of PDC tape 20:**

**Original** PDC tape 20:

“Therefore, we really do have the remedy before the assault weapon is produced. Did you ever read poor old George Orwell's 1984? Yes,yes, that's wonderful. That would be--- could be the palest imagined shadow of what a world would be like under the rule of the secret use of Scientology with no remedy in existence.”

**New version** of PDC tape 20:

“Therefore, we really do have the remedy before the assault weapon is produced.”

The rest is Deleted!?!?!?

-----

Another part of the **original** PDC tape 20:

“It's a very simple remedy. And that's-just make sure that the remedy is passed along. That's all. Don't horde it, don't hold it; and if you ever do use any Black Dianetics, use it on the guy who pulled Scientology out of sight and made it so it wasn't available. Because he's the boy who would be electing himself "The New Order." And we don't need any more new orders. All those orders, as far as I am concerned, have been filled.”

**New version** of PDC tape 20:

“It's a very simple remedy. And that's-just make sure that the remedy is passed along. That's all. Don't hoard it and don't hold it.”

The rest is Deleted?!?!?

-----

It is shoking that LRH data being removed from his lectures. And in order to find out more about George Orwell, I just red his book “1984”. It is terrifying. If you have not recently red this book I sincerely recommend it (it is not too long), and then please clarify for me why LRH’s mention of it has been deleted!!!

In the book, one of the activities of the ruling (and completely suppressive) government party was to degrade the second dynamic. Sex in particular was considered an enemy, and the activity could only be justified as a means of making babies. And in time this was to be replaced entirely by artificial insemination. There is plenty of other stuff, e.g.. the “dissolving” of people who has come in disfavour of the inner party (just like Pat Broeker). They are removed from the records without the chance of communicating their side of the story to any other member of the party. Similar to our SP declares. It is really well written I must say. I understand fully that LRH, being himself a talented writer, really liked this book.

But then I came across a new and altered definition of the 2<sup>nd</sup> dynamic in the new version of the Scientology Ethics book as well as in the What is Scientology book:

The SECOND DYNAMIC is CREATIVITY. Creativity is making things for the future and the second dynamic includes any creativity. The second dynamic contains the family unit and the rearing of children, as well as anything that can be categorized as a family unit. It also incidentally includes sex as a mechanism to compel future survival.

I can’t believe that this is LRH. It is completely out of alignment with the rest of the dynamics. Creativity is something else, it applies for all the dynamics. It definitely doesn’t fit in as it’s own dynamic.

Clearly survival through self - family - group - mankind etc. makes sense.

Now look at; survival through self - creativity - group - mankind etc. that does not, never will fit in.

That makes building a house, starting a company etc. a second dynamic activity!?! It introduce confusion to say the least.

Please don’t get me wrong, I am not complaining as such, I really just hope there is a perfectly logical explanation for these alterations, and I very much look forward to hearing back from you.

Yours sincerely

Robert Dam