

THE EXCALIBUR COURSE, OT VIII.

So one can wonder - what is this Excalibur Course and this Excalibur level. As this is a non confidential briefing - I will do my best to explain it without going into any confidential details.

It is made or rather compiled by Captain Bill Robertson (CBR) who was one of the closest people to LRH. One of LRHs handpicked staff. He was a Class VIII and had for many years direct comm with LRH.

So did CBR invent a new OT level or did he mix up a current OT level with his own stuff?

First I would like to say that we have checked out the OTI-III course here in Ron's Org and it is EXACTLY the same as the one I was doing at AOSH EU. Robert Dam did the same - he took the OT III pack and started to read it from the very beginning. Everything was there. But there was more - there was added Class VIII tape briefings by LRH - data that makes the OT III much more real and better understood. The process itself is the very same.

On Excalibur you deal with the NOTs Case. And all the NOTs materials are included in the course. Going through the course I found a lot more data about the NOTs Case than what I ever found on FLAG on my Solo NOTs Course. Caspar, who is Class VIII and Class IX, fully trained NOTs auditor confirmed that these additional data are not part of any of the NOTs materials he has studied in the Church and I, myself has not seen any of it on the Solo NOTs Course.

So where are all these additional data coming from? - is it CBRs own inventions. I can tell you that I found that all the additional data ARE LRH.

But how come that it is not part of the NOTs materials in the Church. Well that is a very interesting question and one can only speculate on that - I can not give you an answer. But this is my understanding of how the Excalibur came about.

One first have to understand how LRH worked. LRH was very busy and had people working for him. He developed something, wrote it down - often handwritten - often in notes - and had his special selected people to write it up in PLs and HCOBs - and then he approved it in the end.

If you go into one of the older green/red volumes you will see in the left bottom some letters, that is the initials of who wrote up the issue for LRH etc... This little signature of who wrote it up etc.. is omitted in the new green/red volumes.

For your information I have seen all the original NOTs issues and you will see that in this "fingerprint" of who wrote it up the initials "dm" of about 95% of all the issues - and it stands for David Mayo. He was personally trained by LRH and was handpicked by LRH to be the Senior C/S international after being Senior C/S of FLAG for many years.

NOTs was developed in 1978 - apparently LRH found out, that there was an entire category of case he has not seen - thus omitted from the gradechart. So he developed the NOTs technology - some assert that it was developed together with David Mayo.

It is my understanding that this NOTs came in as a little bit of a surprise to LRH - I think everybody who is on NOTs will be able to confirm that assumption of mine.

Comparing the NOTs materials with the additional Excalibur materials there can be two possible situation scenarios and it is obviously that one of them must be the case:

a) If LRH was convinced he had completed his research of NOTs it would be obvious that LRH did not take the time to take full consequences of his new NOTs awareness and compared it to all his former research and developments. He may have been in a hurry - what we KNOW is that he did not know of the NOTs case before he found it - that is obvious - but what it tells us is that even LRH is not always seeing everything - he can also be in a situation of omitting something - as he did before he found out about NOTs.

So when he found out about NOTs he just concentrated on the key NOTs data and did not include all the earlier research he has done that would apply to NOTs.

b) That LRH developed the NOTs with or without David Mayo - but gave the hat to DM to compile all his research data and make it into an HCOB format - thus omitting essential data from his earlier research.

From what I can see: what CBR did, was he took this NOTs technology and he studied it very thoroughly, he compared it to his Class VIII training and all his basic Scientology training and found that it was incomplete. He found that in LRH earlier research there was data about certain phenomena that was just hanging in the air - observations and technology LRH gives in lectures and books that he found, but which he never placed to any particular level - and then CBR took those of these loose ends that logically would fit into the NOTs level and placed them into the NOTs level.

(The fact that the Golden Age of Tech version of NOTs covers a lot more tools than was first covered in the NOTs materials (This is what David Miscavige says) confirms that the NOTs level WAS NOT COMPLETE FROM THE BEGINNING - even the Church found (years after NOTs was released) that something was missing.

CBR was on the same line - and just found a lot more materials.

Thus he ended up with a NOTs level that covers a much wider area of case than what the Church NOTs level covers, and he ended up with with a NOTs level that has even wider applications and more tools than even the new Golden Age of Tech NOTs level has in the Church.

What is very interesting here is, that on the Excalibur course, CBR includes some Class VIII tape lectures of LRH. And in one of those lectures from 1968, LRH talks about how you handle the OT CASE. For anyone on NOTs they will understand what I mean when I say that what LRH talks about there will apply for OT III and NOTs at the same time. Now, here LRH describe a certain way to approach and find the OT CASE - which he describe in this lecture as a wrong way of doing it - he say that it somehow came in on the side lines. And he describe that methode at quote: "It is for the birds".

The problem is - that what he describe there as “It is for the birds” is exactly how you do it on SOLO NOTs at FLAG.

CBR also found in the R&D volumes an entire chapter (here is some of the loose ends where LRH found something that he did not place anywhere) that describe how our OT case is organized in a particular way. CBR took these information and applied it to NOTs and doing so changed the entire picture of NOTs. When you read those data of LRH with your NOTs awareness - it becomes very evident that this is exactly applying to this level.

Further I found that LRH actually talks about it indirectly on the NOTs issues. And funny enough when I was on my solo NOTs Course - there was a particular paragraph in one of the NOTs Series that just did not make sense. I remember that I was trying to clear it up with the Flag Supervisor, and in the end we found some sort of “logical explanation” to it so I could continue. **BUT IF ONE TAKE WHAT LRH TALKS ABOUT ON THE R&D CHAPTER - ABOUT THE ORGANIZATION OF THE CASE - SUDDENLY THE PUZZLE CAN BE COMPLETED AND IT ALL MAKES PERFECT SENCE.** I also found one other issue where one little sentence that most people will not really put any attention on - but actually indicate that CBR is right in placing these R&D data to the NOTs level.

But it continues: In the tape The Role of Earth - LRH is going in details about certain phenomenon in relation to a thetan - which is not covered at any level of the gradechart. Having a good understanding of the basic NOTs technology - you will easily see - I mean it is shockingly obvious that what he talks about on that tape - is directly applicaple to the NOTs case - and as I said - is not covered anywhere else.

And there are several other similar situations - where LRH describe certain stuff - and never place it on the gradechart anywhere - but when having the NOTs technology - suddenly it makes total sence that it is where it belongs.

So this is how I understand CBR did it - and how he came about a much more extended OT level than what the Church has. And now after going through the materials - I am 100% convinced, that no one can complete the NOTs Case without having these data and handle these area of the case. I have personally experienced that **THAT CASE IS THERE** - and I would never have reached it if I had only had the data and techniques from solo NOTs.

YES a person who complete solo NOTs is finish with solo NOTs - but he may not be finished with his entire NOTs case. He is just finished with that limited and narrowed case viewpoint presented on the NOTs and solo NOTs level of the Church.

And one indication that this statement can be correct is, that many did already earlier complete solo NOTs - and all - the PreOT, the C/Ses and RTC was all convinced that the person was complete. And what do we then find later on? - **THEY WERE NOT COMPLETE** and were all ordered back to FLAG to do the Golden Age of Tech and then back auditing more on the solo NOTs level. There was plenty of more case to run, they just couldn't find it or couldn't handle it.

Well, I tell you - when they complete it again - **THEY ARE COMPLETE** (I do not want to invalidate their completion) - as they were complete the last time with that viewpoint of that time. But they are **NOT** complete with the entire area of NOTs related case - they simply cannot - as they do not have all the data that are found to be related to the NOTs level.

Here is my personal example. I have tried to solve a particular piece of case at FLAG in 1995. I spend 4 intensives on trying to handle it. I had **HUGE BODY REACTIONS** every time I addressed the area - it can be confirmed in my folders. It was really some **HEAVY** stuff - every time I tried to get a little close to what it was, tried to find my way into it - it just generated heavy cramps in the entire body. After 4 intensives we came to something like a flat point - but it was not handled - we almost gave up - but it appeared to not be so restimulative any longer.

But of course it has hunted my since that time. So in my first Excalibur session - that case of course came up. And as soon as we started to address it - all the body reactions and cramps turned right on. It was not handled at all at FLAG, just destimulated. After 45 minutes of **HELL** we were through it - the only thing that was left was a big emptiness in my head - like all the masses inside my head was gone - and a big release and discharged area of erasure. This is the difference between NOTs and Excalibur. Basicially the same technique - NOTs is just too narrow in its application with too few tools, and it does not recognize the actual structure of the case, therefore it cannot handle it fully.

What can I say - it is my personal experience after 12 years on Solo NOTs, incl. Golden Age of Tech.

22. september 2003, Claus Heimann